

FILED



11:00 am, 9/11/25

**Margaret Botkins**  
Clerk of Court

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF WYOMING

Sherman et al

Plaintiff,

vs.

Case Number: 2:20-CV-215-SWS

Trinity Teen Solutions Inc et al

Defendant.

**CIVIL MINUTE SHEET - MOTION HEARING**

This minute sheet also contains a Minute Order

Date: Sep 11, 2025 Time: 9:08- 10:28 am

Scott W. Skavdahl	Mariah Schaferman	Jan Davis	William Elliott
Judge	Clerk	Reporter	Law Clerk

Attorney(s) for Plaintiff(s) Nathan Nicholas, Michael Rosenthal, Craig Edgington, Frank Watson III and William Routt

Attorney(s) for Defendant(s) Thomas Quinn

Other Gar Lauerman (for related case 1:24-CV-181-SWS)

Witness(es) for Defendant(s)

Pltf/Dft	Doc #	Motion to/for	Disposition
Plaintiff	353	Approval of Class Action Settlement	Under Advisement

Briefs to be filed on or before by  
by

Order to be prepared by  Court  Attorney

Other:

This matter came before the Court for a fairness hearing on the class action settlement proposed by the parties.

The recent filings were discussed on the record. The parties stipulated to the submission to Plaintiff's exhibit A, which will be filed as a non public document.

The Court has never recognized nor identified Ms. Gozun as being pro se. For reasons articulated

Civil Motion Minute Sheet  
2:20-CV-215-SWS

on the record and incorporated herein, Ms. Gozun's Emergency Motion for Protective Order is DENIED and Ms. Sherman's Motion for Protective Order is also DENIED.

The Court will not allow submissions of any materials filed under seal or ex parte, nor allow ex parte communications with the Court's chambers or its law clerks. Any efforts to do so must cease. And any communications, to the extent considered by the Court in any way, shape or form, will be distributed to and provided to all parties so that there is no suggestion as to improper conduct by the Court in determining and evaluating the merits of the claims before it.

To the extent that there are issues concerning conduct by members of this bar or any other, that is a matter for the State Bars to address and determine. The Court has no concerns as to the conduct of counsel before it today. The Court will take the motion under advisement and will make a final determination as to the fairness based on the factors it is required to consider and based on the facts and circumstances presented.